

Opponents of Good Water Think Only of Cost; Health Gets No Consideration Declares Tenant

Editor World: I read in the Tulsa Times of recent date, a statement which says if the Spavinaw water project is voted upon Tulsa, that it will require an additional \$100,000 to meet the interest and sinking fund, and that upon present conditions in the city, \$100,000 will exceed \$15,000, an additional tax per annum of \$1,000, an additional 10¢ a month sent that the landlord will collect from his tenant, or a home-owner will have to add to his monthly expense.

I am sorry to presume, however, that a home-owner's expense that is "assessed at \$1,000" is worth considerably more to the owner of the property than to you, and that he is actually receiving a great rate of interest on near \$100,000 according to the way property rents in Tulsa, and the average property owner—the "landlord" is receiving a fair rental sum, annually, for his property, is it not fair that he contribute his share towards furnishing his tenant with drinkable water, and water for cooking purposes? It is done in every other State in this Union, and the cost of this assessment?

But, if the landlord will contribute from his tenant an additional \$10 per month, which means \$120 per year, and the landlord's tax is only \$50 or per year, the landlord will actually make \$48 per year, and the landlord's tax increased 10¢ a month.

Now, then, how does this hurt the "tenant"? If the tenant is paying 25 cents for a five-gallon bottle of stale water, and if he pays the water company \$1 for a new glass water bottle, then he has to pay 75 cents extra for water.

In conclusion, what is the difference whether the poor "tenant" for whom the property owners are so callous, charged \$1 a month for bottled water or \$1 for a dependable, and practically unbreakable, glass water bottle?

The difference is just this. In one case, he pays the \$1 to the water company for stale water. In the other, he pays it for fresh city water, deliverable when he wants it, where he wants it, and not in fragile glass bottles, that cost him a dollar every time one is cracked.

A TENANT.

Now, then, how does this hurt the "tenant"? If the tenant is paying 25 cents for a five-gallon bottle of stale water, and if he pays the water company \$1 for a new glass water bottle, then he has to pay 75 cents extra for water.

In conclusion, what is the difference whether the poor "tenant" for whom the property owners are so callous, charged \$1 a month for bottled water or \$1 for a dependable, and practically unbreakable, glass water bottle?

The difference is just this. In one case, he pays the \$1 to the water company for stale water. In the other, he pays it for fresh city water, deliverable when he wants it, where he wants it, and not in fragile glass bottles, that cost him a dollar every time one is cracked.

A TENANT.

Now, then, how does this hurt the "tenant"? If the tenant is paying 25 cents for a five-gallon bottle of stale water, and if he pays the water company \$1 for a new glass water bottle, then he has to pay 75 cents extra for water.

In conclusion, what is the difference whether the poor "tenant" for whom the property owners are so callous, charged \$1 a month for bottled water or \$1 for a dependable, and practically unbreakable, glass water bottle?

The difference is just this. In one case, he pays the \$1 to the water company for stale water. In the other, he pays it for fresh city water, deliverable when he wants it, where he wants it, and not in fragile glass bottles, that cost him a dollar every time one is cracked.

A TENANT.

Final Day to Register to Vote for Good Water Today

The registration booths close at 7 o'clock tonight and many of us have not yet registered. This is the last day and it is the duty of every citizen, man and woman, to register today so that his or her vote can be cast July 10 for bond issues that will bring good water to Tulsa.

You do not know where you are to register, consult the table that will be found at another place in this issue. If you know your precinct you can find the registration place for that precinct. If you do not know what precinct you live in, call The World office, 6000, and the information will be cheerfully given you.

The right of suffrage is of no benefit unless you use it. You must register in order to vote if you have not registered before. Do not be misled by any false line of opponents of the bond issue. If you cannot vote and withdraw, especially should not be mislead to thinking that they will be permitted to vote at the election. These matters are doubtful with the indications.

Every woman whose husband lives in Tulsa is entitled to a vote. It is a certainty that every

man who owns property will be permitted to vote.

On the safe side and register. Then you have done your full duty and will be permitted to vote according to the rulings of the attorney general. If you do not register and the attorney general rules that you can vote it will be no use to register today.

The mere fact that you are in favor of good water for Tulsa is not going to carry the bond election and make it possible for Tulsa to settle the water problem. You must vote. The opponents of the bond issue are shrewd politicians and they realize that the votes will be effective rather than what the people want. They are leaving nothing to chance. See that all opposed to the bonds register. If you do not register you cannot vote and if you do not vote you have done nothing for Tulsa in this important turning point in her history.

Whether Tulsa is to grow into a great city or remain in its present stage of development depends in a large part on whether you register today. It will take but a few minutes.

Every year and Hughes Arrive in Town for July 4 Battle

With three of the four principals on the ground ready to start intensive training for the boxing card of the Tulsa Sporting club here on July 4, the world's heavyweight title scrap is being forgotten by the local fans in their interest over the coming card which promises to be the best ever staged by John Reiley.

Early Saturday and Bobby Hughes began in weight class meet in the first fifteen-round encounter held yesterday. Puryear won to-morrow immediately, working with Bill

can co., beginning should never be. Every voter should register immediately as to be able to vote in water bond election. Find out what precinct you live in and you have not yet registered do so at once. If you do not know what precinct you are in, call the World and you will be told your precinct and your registration place.

Don't fail to register right away. The Registration places will be open Monday.

REGISTER NOW TO VOTE JULY 10

be. Every voter should register immediately as to be able to vote in water bond election. Find out what precinct you live in and you have not yet registered do so at once. If you do not know what precinct you are in, call the World and you will be told your precinct and your registration place.

Don't fail to register right away. The Registration places will be open Monday.

Places:

No. 1 J. C. Kirby

No. 2 E. D. Remond

No. 3 J. M. Burnett

No. 4 Mahle Brothers

No. 5 Mrs. Wm. H. Shumler

No. 6 G. C. Avery

No. 7 J. C. Miller

No. 8 Mrs. Orrin Oliver,

No. 9 H. W. Johnson,

No. 10 B. E. Johnson,

No. 11 G. C. Buckner,

No. 12 G. H. Newell,

No. 13 Hannan Grocery,

No. 14 Jack Shaeffer,

No. 15 Tom Shaeffer,

No. 16 W. R. Seaver

No. 17 J. T. Chambers

No. 18 J. G. Borchard,

No. 19 South Side Grocer,

No. 20 W. E. Patterson,

No. 21 E. R. Leonard,

No. 22 John Woodard,

No. 23 A. D. Davis,

No. 24 W. A. Hanmer

No. 25 J. Weinstein,

No. 26 T. H. Haastie.

Editor World:

I read in the Tulsa Times of recent date, a statement which says if the Spavinaw water project is voted upon Tulsa, that it will require an additional \$100,000 to meet the interest and sinking fund, and that upon present conditions in the city, \$100,000 will exceed \$15,000, an additional tax per annum of \$1,000, an additional

tax.

I was told by a property owner this week, that site had just sold for \$10,000, property that cost \$10,000, and that upon present conditions in the city, \$100,000 will exceed \$15,000, an additional tax per annum of \$1,000, an additional

tax.

I am sorry to presume, however, that a home-owner's expense that is "assessed at \$1,000" is worth considerably more to the owner of the property than to you, and that he is actually receiving a great rate of interest on near \$100,000 according to the way property rents in Tulsa, and the average property owner—the "landlord" is receiving a fair rental sum, annually, for his property, is it not fair that he contribute his share towards furnishing his tenant with drinkable water, and water for cooking purposes?

It is done in every other State in this Union, and the cost of this assessment?

But, if the landlord will contribute from his tenant an additional \$10 per month, which means \$120 per year, and the landlord's tax is only \$50 or per year, the landlord will actually make \$48 per year, and the landlord's tax increased 10¢ a month sent that the landlord will collect from his tenant, or a home-owner will have to add to his monthly expense.

I am sorry to presume, however, that a home-owner's expense that is "assessed at \$1,000" is worth considerably more to the owner of the property than to you, and that he is actually receiving a great rate of interest on near \$100,000 according to the way property rents in Tulsa, and the average property owner—the "landlord" is receiving a fair rental sum, annually, for his property, is it not fair that he contribute his share towards furnishing his tenant with drinkable water, and water for cooking purposes?

It is done in every other State in this Union, and the cost of this assessment?

But, if the landlord will contribute from his tenant an additional \$10 per month, which means \$120 per year, and the landlord's tax is only \$50 or per year, the landlord will actually make \$48 per year, and the landlord's tax increased 10¢ a month sent that the landlord will collect from his tenant, or a home-owner will have to add to his monthly expense.

I am sorry to presume, however, that a home-owner's expense that is "assessed at \$1,000" is worth considerably more to the owner of the property than to you, and that he is actually receiving a great rate of interest on near \$100,000 according to the way property rents in Tulsa, and the average property owner—the "landlord" is receiving a fair rental sum, annually, for his property, is it not fair that he contribute his share towards furnishing his tenant with drinkable water, and water for cooking purposes?

It is done in every other State in this Union, and the cost of this assessment?

But, if the landlord will contribute from his tenant an additional \$10 per month, which means \$120 per year, and the landlord's tax is only \$50 or per year, the landlord will actually make \$48 per year, and the landlord's tax increased 10¢ a month sent that the landlord will collect from his tenant, or a home-owner will have to add to his monthly expense.

I am sorry to presume, however, that a home-owner's expense that is "assessed at \$1,000" is worth considerably more to the owner of the property than to you, and that he is actually receiving a great rate of interest on near \$100,000 according to the way property rents in Tulsa, and the average property owner—the "landlord" is receiving a fair rental sum, annually, for his property, is it not fair that he contribute his share towards furnishing his tenant with drinkable water, and water for cooking purposes?

It is done in every other State in this Union, and the cost of this assessment?

But, if the landlord will contribute from his tenant an additional \$10 per month, which means \$120 per year, and the landlord's tax is only \$50 or per year, the landlord will actually make \$48 per year, and the landlord's tax increased 10¢ a month sent that the landlord will collect from his tenant, or a home-owner will have to add to his monthly expense.

I am sorry to presume, however, that a home-owner's expense that is "assessed at \$1,000" is worth considerably more to the owner of the property than to you, and that he is actually receiving a great rate of interest on near \$100,000 according to the way property rents in Tulsa, and the average property owner—the "landlord" is receiving a fair rental sum, annually, for his property, is it not fair that he contribute his share towards furnishing his tenant with drinkable water, and water for cooking purposes?

It is done in every other State in this Union, and the cost of this assessment?

But, if the landlord will contribute from his tenant an additional \$10 per month, which means \$120 per year, and the landlord's tax is only \$50 or per year, the landlord will actually make \$48 per year, and the landlord's tax increased 10¢ a month sent that the landlord will collect from his tenant, or a home-owner will have to add to his monthly expense.

I am sorry to presume, however, that a home-owner's expense that is "assessed at \$1,000" is worth considerably more to the owner of the property than to you, and that he is actually receiving a great rate of interest on near \$100,000 according to the way property rents in Tulsa, and the average property owner—the "landlord" is receiving a fair rental sum, annually, for his property, is it not fair that he contribute his share towards furnishing his tenant with drinkable water, and water for cooking purposes?

It is done in every other State in this Union, and the cost of this assessment?

But, if the landlord will contribute from his tenant an additional \$10 per month, which means \$120 per year, and the landlord's tax is only \$50 or per year, the landlord will actually make \$48 per year, and the landlord's tax increased 10¢ a month sent that the landlord will collect from his tenant, or a home-owner will have to add to his monthly expense.

I am sorry to presume, however, that a home-owner's expense that is "assessed at \$1,000" is worth considerably more to the owner of the property than to you, and that he is actually receiving a great rate of interest on near \$100,000 according to the way property rents in Tulsa, and the average property owner—the "landlord" is receiving a fair rental sum, annually, for his property, is it not fair that he contribute his share towards furnishing his tenant with drinkable water, and water for cooking purposes?

It is done in every other State in this Union, and the cost of this assessment?

But, if the landlord will contribute from his tenant an additional \$10 per month, which means \$120 per year, and the landlord's tax is only \$50 or per year, the landlord will actually make \$48 per year, and the landlord's tax increased 10¢ a month sent that the landlord will collect from his tenant, or a home-owner will have to add to his monthly expense.

I am sorry to presume, however, that a home-owner's expense that is "assessed at \$1,000" is worth considerably more to the owner of the property than to you, and that he is actually receiving a great rate of interest on near \$100,000 according to the way property rents in Tulsa, and the average property owner—the "landlord" is receiving a fair rental sum, annually, for his property, is it not fair that he contribute his share towards furnishing his tenant with drinkable water, and water for cooking purposes?

It is done in every other State in this Union, and the cost of this assessment?

But, if the landlord will contribute from his tenant an additional \$10 per month, which means \$120 per year, and the landlord's tax is only \$50 or per year, the landlord will actually make \$48 per year, and the landlord's tax increased 10¢ a month sent that the landlord will collect from his tenant, or a home-owner will have to add to his monthly expense.

I am sorry to presume, however, that a home-owner's expense that is "assessed at \$1,000" is worth considerably more to the owner of the property than to you, and that he is actually receiving a great rate of interest on near \$100,000 according to the way property rents in Tulsa, and the average property owner—the "landlord" is receiving a fair rental sum, annually, for his property, is it not fair that he contribute his share towards furnishing his tenant with drinkable water, and water for cooking purposes?

It is done in every other State in this Union, and the cost of this assessment?

But, if the landlord will contribute from his tenant an additional \$10 per month, which means \$120 per year, and the landlord's tax is only \$50 or per year, the landlord will actually make \$48 per year, and the landlord's tax increased 10¢ a month sent that the landlord will collect from his tenant, or a home-owner will have to add to his monthly expense.

I am sorry to presume, however, that a home-owner's expense that is "assessed at \$1,000" is worth considerably more to the owner of the property than to you, and that he is actually receiving a great rate of interest on near \$100,000 according to the way property rents in Tulsa, and the average property owner—the "landlord" is receiving a fair rental sum, annually, for his property, is it not fair that he contribute his share towards furnishing his tenant with drinkable water, and water for cooking purposes?

It is done in every other State in this Union, and the cost of this assessment?

But, if the landlord will contribute from his tenant an additional \$10 per month, which means \$120 per year, and the landlord's tax is only \$50 or per year, the landlord will actually make \$48 per year, and the landlord's tax increased 10¢ a month sent that the landlord will collect from his tenant, or a home-owner will have to add to his monthly expense.

I am sorry to presume, however, that a home-owner's expense that is "assessed at \$1,000" is worth considerably more to the owner of the property than to you, and that he is actually receiving a great rate of interest on near \$100,000 according to the way property rents in Tulsa, and the average property owner—the "landlord" is receiving a fair rental sum, annually, for his property, is it not fair that he contribute his share towards furnishing his tenant with drinkable water, and water for cooking purposes?

It is done in every other State in this Union, and the cost of this assessment?

But, if the landlord will contribute from his tenant an additional \$10 per month, which means \$120 per year, and the landlord's tax is only \$50 or per year, the landlord will actually make \$4